

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15122 of 2023

=====

Gufranul Haque S/o Md. Izharul Haque, Resident of Village - Chainpur, P.S.
Kurhani District - Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary Panchayati Raj Department Old Secretariate, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
3. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Muzaffarpur, District Muzaffarpur.
4. The Block Development Officer Kudhni Block, District Muzaffarpur.
5. The Block Panchayati Raj Officer Block Kudhani, District Muzaffarpur.
6. The Mukhiya, Panchayati Raj Chainpur Wazid Block, Kudhani, District Muzaffarpur.
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Chainpur Wazid Block Kudhai District Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad, Advocate Ms. Nitu Kumari, Advocate Mr. Yogendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajay (GA- 5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-01-2024 Heard Mr. Ratneshwar Prasad, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Ajay, learned GA-

 5 for the respondent/s.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner seeks to file detailed representation before the
Divisional Commissioner.

3. The Divisional Commissioner is directed to look
into the affairs of charge levelled by the petitioner and if it is
found that the Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Chainpur Wazid,



Block- Kurhani, District- Muzaffarpur, has jointly or individually misappropriated the government fund, then, in that case, appropriate action be taken against him after giving due opportunity of hearing to all the affected parties in accordance with law within a period of six weeks, so that the government money cannot be plundered by any of the government officials.

4. So far as Panchayat Secretary is concerned, the District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur, may take appropriate disciplinary action against him if, at all, charge levelled against him is satisfied.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

