

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70586 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-104 Year-2024 Thana- KOPA District- Saran

-
1. Sangita Devi Wife of Late Santosh Thakur Resident of Village- Bareja (Sitalpur), P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran.
 2. Rohit Thakur @ Rohit Kumar @ Rohit Kumar Thakur Son of Late Santosh Thakur Resident of Village- Bareja (Sitalpur), P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran.
 3. Suraj Thakur @ Suraj Kumar Son of Late Santosh Thakur Resident of Village- Bareja (Sitalpur), P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran.
 4. Rajan Thakur @ Rajun Kumar @ Rajan Kumar Son of Late Santosh Thakur Resident of Village- Bareja (Sitalpur), P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran.
 5. Durga Devi Wife of Bhupendra Thakur Resident of Village- Bareja (Sitalpur), P.S.- Manjhi, Dist.- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amit Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Harendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered
for the offence punishable u/s 366A, 34 of the IPC.

3. Allegation against the petitioners is that they along with
other co-accused persons have kidnapped the minor daughter of
the informant.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that
petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence.



They have been falsely implicated in this case due to grudge. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. It is fairly submitted that till date, the daughter of the informant has not been recovered. The name of petitioners has transpired in this case only on the basis that they are the family members of the co-accused Ravi Thakur, who is alleged to have contact with the victim. Petitioner nos. 1 and 5 are female. Further, petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, considering that petitioner nos.1 and 5 are female, let them, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Kopa P.S. Case No.104 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. However, considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner nos.2, 3 and 4 on anticipatory bail. The prayer for grant of bail on their behalf is hereby



rejected.

8. Accordingly, this application is partly allowed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

