

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2022 Thana- BHARGAMA District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kundan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit , APP
For OP 2 : Mrigendra Kumar , Advocate
Kusum Kumari , Advocate

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioners are witness to the sale deed. Petitioners are neither



vendor nor vendee . They are not the beneficiary of the alleged transaction . Petitioners claim clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State and opposite party No. 2 opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the fact that petitioners are not the beneficiary of the alleged transaction and other circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within six weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Araria in connection with in Bhargama P S Case No. 258 of 2022 , subject to the conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

