

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20983 of 2019**

- 
- 
1. Mithilesh Kumar Son of Pramod Kumar Gupta Resident of Village- Kanp West, Ward No.10, P.S.- Sour Bazar, District- Saharsa.
  2. Asmita Snehi Daughter of Dinesh Prasad Yadav Resident of Village- Bhawani Patti, Panchayat- Rajeshwari Purvi, P.O.- Tamua, P.S.- Chhatapur, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Vice Chancellor, Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University Laloo Nagar, Madhepura, District- Madhepura.
2. The Registrar, Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University, Laloo Nagar, Madhepura, District- Madhepura.
3. The Examination Controller, Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University, Laloo Nagar, Madhepura, District- Madhepura.
4. The Principal, T.P. College, Madhepura.

... .. Respondent/s

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raja Surendra Mohan  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ritesh Kumar

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      01-08-2024                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the University.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners' case is squarely covered by the order passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in C.W.J.C. No. 14746 of 2021 dated 29.07.2024.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are directed to file a detailed representation before the respondent no. 3 (The Examination Controller, B.N.



Mandal University, Madhepura) along with all supporting documents within a period of two weeks and the respondent no. 3 is well advised to consider the case of the petitioners after affording opportunity of personal hearing or through their counsel and pass a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law within four weeks thereafter.

4. It is made clear that the respondent no. 3 shall be liable for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the writ application stands disposed of.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not gone to the merit of the case.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

anand/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

