

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70683 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-780 Year-2023 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Shrawan Kumar Sharma @ Sarvan Kumar Son of Chota Lal Sharma @
Chotelal Sharma Resident of Village- Pipra Khurd, P.S.- Supaul, District-
Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs.Patla Kumari, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 22-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. Petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with
Supaul P.S. Case No.780 of 2023, registered for the offence
punishable u/s 302, 504, 506/34 of the IPC.

3. As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that the
petitioner was continuously harassing and chasing the minor
daughter of the informant to solemnize marriage, for which, a
Panchayati was organized and he assured to not repeat the same
in future. On 09.10.2023, the petitioner intercepted the son of
the informant in his way and informed to go and see the
condition of his sister. On reaching home, the son of the
informant saw the dead body of her sister, having strangulation



mark on her neck. The informant has raised apprehension that her daughter has been killed by the petitioner and his associates.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is quite innocent and has not committed any offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case due to ulterior motive. It is submitted that the petitioner and the deceased had love affair and they wanted to solemnize marriage but the same was objected by the family of the deceased due to which, she was facing depression since long and one day, she committed suicide. There is no eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that there is specific allegation against the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that there is direct allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for grant of anticipatory bail on his behalf is hereby rejected.

7. This application is accordingly dismissed.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

pallavi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

