

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71141 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2023 Thana- RUDRAPUR District- Madhubani

Arvind Yadav @ Arvind Kumar Yadav Son of Ram Sundar Yadav Resident of
Village - Barsam, P.S. - Rudrapur, District - Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Jitendra Bharti, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Gagandeo Yadav, Advocate
		Mr. Ravi Prakash, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 21-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State as also learned counsel for the informant. Perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
Case No. 601 of 2023 arising out of Rudrapur P.S. Case No. 62
of 2023 instituted for the offence under Sections 147, 148, 149,
341, 323, 324, 307, 354(B), 379, 504 & 506 of the Indian Penal
Code (for brevity 'the IPC'). Subsequently, Section 302 of the
IPC & Section 27 of the Arms Act were added. Earlier *vide*
order dated 04-12-2023 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 68766 of 2023,
prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner was rejected.

3. Earlier *vide* order dated 05-10-2024, a report was



called for from the lower court with regard to the present stage of the case, the number of witnesses examined and within what period the trial is likely to be concluded. In compliance thereto, a report dated 18-10-2024 has been received and it is reported that case is fixed for prosecution evidence and out of eleven witnesses, three witnesses have been examined so far, thus eight witnesses are yet to be examined. Further it is stated that trial is likely to be concluded within a period of nine months.

4. After hearing the submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court does not find any fresh ground to revisit the matter which was earlier rejected on merit. Hence, keeping in view the report of the trial court, in which it is stated that trial is likely to be concluded within a period of nine months coupled with there being direct allegation of firing against this petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer is accordingly *rejected*.

5. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

