

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15496 of 2023

-
1. Nand Kishore Singh Son of Late Babu Lal Sinha, Resident of A66 Sector-O, Sachiwalay Colony, Kankarbagh, P.S.- Patrakar Nagar, District- Patna.
 2. Anand Mohan Sinha, Son of Late Raghunath Prasad, Resident of 205, Ram Bilash Enclave, Prithvipur, East Lohanipur, P.S.- Kadamkuan, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Engineer, Kendriya Nirupan Sangathan, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Officer-in-Charge, Personal Claim, Department of Finance, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pramod Mishra, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr.Sushil Kumar, GP-22
		Mr.K.K.Singh, AC to GP-22
For the Accountant Gen.	:	Mr.Viviekanand Kumar, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 02-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1. That the petitioner prays for issuance of appropriate order/orders, direction/directions, writ/writs, preferably in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the Respondent authority concerned to grant pay scale of Rs. 10,000-15,200/- to the petitioners and accordingly



revise their pension including payment of its arrears as petitioners are entitled for the said benefit in view of the Bihar Gazette notification published by the Government of Bihar in this regard on dated 14th February, 1996 as well as office order No.32 contained in Memo No. 468 dated 25.5.2018 and Memo No. 73 dated 30.3.2022 both issued under the signature of Respondent Chief Engineer and the said benefits has been extended/granted to other similarly situated persons vide Annexure-5 & 7 respectively of this writ petition, but similar claim of the petitioner has been discriminated.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioners to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of their aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

