

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.73950 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-264 Year-2019 Thana- PAROO District- Muzaffarpur

Arjun Sahani @ Kallu Son of Rajesh Sahani @ Farakwa Resident of Village -
Kamalpura Near Mahabir Mandir, P.S. - Paroo, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. B. PD. SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is languishing in custody in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on 28.06.2024 three accused persons came on two motorcycles and snatched a sum of Rs. 2,69,000/-, an ATM card, a register, three mobile phones and two laptops from the office/workplace of the petitioner. Accordingly the F.I.R.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, petitioner is languishing in jail since 06.03.2020 and nothing has



been recovered from his possession and the petitioner was not put on T.I.P. and the chargesheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has two criminal antecedents.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and the period of custody, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-Vth, Muzaffarpur in connection with Sessions Trial No. 463 of 2022 arising out of Paroo P.S. Case No. 264 of 2019 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner.

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;



(iv) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(S. B. Pd. Singh, J)

Ankit Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

