

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14463 of 2012

=====

Arun Kumar Thakur, S/O Munni Lal Thakur, R/o Vilalge Indra Wara, P.S. Tajpur, Distt. Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through Secretary Home Police, Central Govt, New Delhi
2. Director General Of Police C.R.P.F. New Delhi
3. Commandent , 87 Batalian C.R.P.F. Tal Bagan Agartalla, Tripura
4. Inspector General Of Police 87, Batalian C.R.P.F. Narasingh Gadh, Agartalla Tripura
5. Deputy Inspector General Of Police Group Centre. C.R.P.F. Jhapaha Muzaffarpur
6. Deputy Inspector General Of Police (Medical) Composite Hospital C.R.P.F. Muzaffarpur

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surya Narayan Roy, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kumar Ravish, CGC, UOI

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 06-05-2024 Heard Mr. Surya Narayan Roy, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr.Kumar Ravish,

 learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Petitioner has *inter alia* prayed for following reliefs

in the paragraphs no.1 of the writ petition:-

“This is an application for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing commanding the Respondent to appoint the petitioner as a Govt. servant on any suitable Post on compassionate ground as the father of petitioner died on 5.1.2008 during service period when ever post is vacant in the department.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that in spite of the fact that petitioner had applied for compassionate appointment, whose father had died in harness on 05.01.2008, his case for compassionate appointment was rejected merely on the ground that petitioner was over age and his height was less than what is required for the post of constable in CRPF.

4. Considering the fact that by efflux of time, the petitioner has attained the age more than what is required for the post of constable in CRPF and the said fact has been stated in paragraphs no.6 and 7 of the counter affidavit filed on behalf of the Union of India, no order is required to be passed.

5. The writ petition is disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

