

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70175 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-29 Year-2024 Thana- Cyber P.S. District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Arsad Alam S/o- Seikha Abbas @ Abbas Village- Shankar Saraiya Munshi
Enar PS- Turkaulia District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yugal Kishore, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Upendra Kumar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 26-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Muzaffarpur Cyber P.S. Case No. 29 of 2024 dated 14.03.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 420 and 170 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 66C and 66D of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant got a call from this number 911243660525 claiming to be from administration. They told the informant that his son was caught in a rape case with his friend and he has to pay Rs. 75,000/- if he wants to save his son otherwise his son's career will be ruined. They gave a PNB bank account number



(0713100100006920) to the informant to deposit the amount. The informant followed the instruction and deposited the said amount. It is further alleged that after some time, the informant came to know that it was a cyber fraud.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is not named in the FIR. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in this case in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Jitendra Kumar. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The co-accused has been granted regular bail by this court vide order dated 08.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 47975 of 2024. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 18.03.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial



Magistrate, Muzaffarpur in connection with Muzaffarpur Cyber
P.S. Case No. 29 of 2024.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

