

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72552 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-208 Year-2022 Thana- PATAHI District- East Champaran

Ratnesh Kumar S/o Jaikishundev Rai R/o Village- Champapur, Yadav Tola,  
P.S- Patahi, District- East Champarna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Ranjan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-10-2024                      Heard Mr. Abhishek Ranjan, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and Mr. Dilip Kumar No. 1, learned A.P.P. for the  
  
State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Patahi P.S.  
  
Case No. 208 of 2022 registered for the offences punishable  
under Sections 413, 414, 34 of the Indian Penal Code and  
Section 7 of the Essential Commodities Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 11.10.2022,  
  
State Food Corporation Motihari received an information that  
  
vehicle no. BR-06-GC-7590 laden with 112 sacks of grain for  
its distribution under MOM Yojana in five schools but in  
course of GPS tracking of the said vehicle it is has been found  
that the food gains allotted to 01 school i.e. UMS Belwa  
Pokhar have been supplied at other places instead of being



supplied to the school.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The allegation levelled against the petitioner is not specific rather general and omnibus in nature. He submits that the petitioner is not engaged in any such activity ever and neither the petitioner has acted as delivery agent of alleged recovered rice of MDM. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para-3 of this application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner acted as a delivery agent in the alleged occurrence.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of case and the fact that the petitioner has acted as a delivery agent in the alleged occurrence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. The prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

anand/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

