

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17635 of 2022

=====

Bharat Yadav son of Ayodhi Yadav, Resident of Village and Srikhandi Bhittha,
P.S. Sursand Distt. Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary Panchayati Raj Department Bihar, Patna.
2. The Auditor General -cum- Accountant Bihar, Patna.
3. The Distt. Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
4. The Distt. Programme Officer, Sheohar.
5. The Panchayat Raj Officer, Sitamarhi.
6. The Block Development Officer, Majorganj.
7. The Block Development Officer, Pupri.
8. The Block Development Officer, Bathnaha
9. The Block Development Officer, Sonbarsa
10. The Block Development Officer, Parihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Satya Vrat, AC to GP-10
For the Accountant General	:	Mr. Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Rajan Prakash, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 16-01-2024

Heard Mr. Devendra Kumar, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Satya Vrat, learned
AC to GP-10.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner
submits at the bar that the grievance of the petitioner has been
redressed and in this respect, he has received the counter
affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent nos. 3 to 10.

3. Mr. Satya Vrat, learned counsel for the State



referring to the statements made in paragraph no. 5 to the counter affidavit submits that all the retiral/admissible dues of the petitioner have been paid to him and no claim of the petitioner has been left for payment.

4. In view of the averments made in the counter affidavit, as noted hereinabove, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

5. Learned counsel for the Accountant General, Bihar is present.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	16.01.2024.
Transmission Date	NA

