

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67810 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-302 Year-2023 Thana- BEGUSARAI TOWN District- Begusarai

Pintu Kumar S/O Kameshwar Bhakta R/O Village- Banwaripur, P.S-
Dariyapur, Distt.- Saran. At Present Posted As Assistant Managar, State Bank
Of India, Raacc, Branch, Begusarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The State Bank Of India Through Regional Manager, Commercial Office,
Begusarai. Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Raghwendra Pratap Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

For the SBI : Mr. Apurv Harsh, Advocate

Mr. Raghu Raj Pratap, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

4 29-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the State Bank of
India.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Begusarai Town P.S. Case No. 302/2023 lodged on 06.05.2023
under Section 409/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the FIR has been
lodged against 11 named accused persons, including the present
petitioner against whom there is allegation that they in
connivance with each other has committed the criminal breach



of trust of Rs.1,79,19,000/- of the State Bank of India.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. In the FIR, it has been alleged that the petitioner has attached the account from the home loan from more customers and transfer it to the another customers. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner himself is not the beneficiary even if the allegation is assumed to be true. None of the individual customers have filed any complaint before the Bank. The petitioner is in custody since 25.05.2023 having no criminal antecedent. The chargesheet has already been submitted.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Learned counsel for the State Bank of India India opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the petitioner is the master mind of such act by which the bank had caused huge loss.

6. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, **but only after framing of charge, if not framed**, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the



satisfaction of CJM, Begusarai, subject to the conditions as laid
down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

