

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.68062 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2024 Thana- DAWATH District- Rohtas

Munna Singh @ Ranjan Kumar Yadav S/O Satyendra Singh @ Basawan
Singh R/O Village- Dhawai, P.S- Dawath, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satyapal Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shyameshwar Dayal

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 219-09-2024
1.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.
3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 15 litres of liquor from a bamboo clump.
4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to public at large and he came to be implicated based on secret information which is the easiest way to



implicate someone.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Dawath P.S. Case No. 92 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

