

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16427 of 2022

=====

Rajesh Kumar Manjhi Son of Kesho Manjhi, Resident of Village- Parnawan,
P.S. Sarmera, District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Nalanda, District Nalanda.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Bihar Sharif, District Nalanda.
4. The Block Development Officer Block Sarmera, District- Nalanda.
5. The Block Welfare Officer, Sarmera, District Nalanda.
6. The Officer- in Charge of Sarmera Police Station, District- Nalanda.
7. Jyoti Kumari D/o Umesh Manjhi, Resident of Village- Parnama, P.S. Sarmera, District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Anisur Rahman, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 05-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1(A) For issuance of appropriate writ/order or
direction quashing the letter no. 56 dated
5.4.2017 issued by and under the signature of
the respondent no. 5 the Block Welfare Officer
Sarmera District Nalanda by which the
engagement of the petitioner as "Vikas Mishra"
in Gram Panchayat Dhanuki P.S/ Block
Sarmera District Nalanda has been cancelled
and the officer in Charge of Sarmera police
station the respondent no. 6 has been requested*



to lodge FIR against the petitioner for non completion construction work of Samudaik Bhawan" of in Dhanuki Gram Panchayat under Scheme 2013-14 as contained in Annexure-2 to this writ application and also for quashing the engagement of the respondent no. 7.

(B) For issuance of appropriate writ/ order or direction commanding the respondents to allow the petitioner to work on his post of "Vikas Mitra" by removing the respondent no. 7.

(C) For issuance of appropriate writ/order or direction commanding the respondents to make payment of his wages/remuneration to the petitioner from the date of his disengagement till date and on wards in regular manner."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of his subsisting grievances, if any. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The present writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

