

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.885 of 2018

In

Letters Patent Appeal No.70 of 2016

-
1. Dinesh Prasad Yadav, son of Radhe Prasad Yadav, Resident of Village – Hasua Panchu, Post and Police Station – Hasua, District – Nawada.
 2. Sanjay Kumar Ranjan, son of Sri Babu Ram Singh, resident of village – Saidpur Dhawa, P.O. + P.S. District – Arwal.
 3. Rajiva Kumar Mishra, son of Sri Nikhileshwari Sharan Mishra, resident of village + Post – Bhagwanpur, District – Vaishali.
 4. Arvind Kumar son of Ramchait Singh, resident of village + Post – Sakkari, District – Bhojpur.
 5. Basant Kumar Roy, son of Late Jay Govind Roy, Resident of village – Yusufpur, P.O. - Khanjaha Chak, Vaya – Lalganj, District – Vaishali.
 6. Sanjay Kumar Soni, son of Mohan Prasad Soni, Resident of At + P.O. - Obra, District – Aurangabad.
 7. Mantu Bharti, son of Sharda Nand Prasad, Resident of Mohalla – Jehanabad Road, Masaudhi, P.O. - Masaudhi, District - Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar, Through Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.

2. Sri Anjani Kumar Singh, I.A.S. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
3. Sri D.S. Gangwar, The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Government of Bihar, Old Secretariat, Patna.
4. Sri Yogendra Ram, the Secretary, the Bihar State Staff Selection Commission Veterinary College, Patna -14.
5. Sri Sanjiv Kumar Sinha, I.A.S. The Chairman, The Bihar State Staff Selection Commission Veterinary College, Patna – 14.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Manoj Kumar Jha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Satyabir Bharti, Advocate
	Mr. Abhishek Anand, Advocate
	Ms. Panupriya, Advocate



**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

9 11-01-2024 There is no proper assistance from either of the

counsel for the parties insofar as compliance of the orders of
this Court. The respondent – State who is appointing authority
pursuant to the recommendation made by the selecting authority,
it is learnt that petitioners have been appointed. It is very sorry
state of affairs of the State Government in not providing date of
appointment so as to examine the compliance order. However,
learned counsel for the petitioner is not disputing in respect of
compliance of the orders of this Court by the selecting and
appointing authority. Therefore, MJC – contempt petition stands
dropped.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

saaurabhkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

