

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69521 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-191 Year-2023 Thana- KHAGARIA District- Khagaria

=====

PRAVEEN KUMAR ATAL @ PRAVEEN KUMAR SINGH @ PRAVIN KR.  
SINGH Son of Late Vijay Prasad Singh R/o vill - Olapur Gangour, P.s. -  
Gangour O.P (Khagaria), Distt. - Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                         |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr.Parmatma Singh, Adv. |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mrs.Renu Kumari, APP    |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr.Yogesh Kumar, Adv.   |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      29-01-2024              Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel  
for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2.      Petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for  
the offence punishable u/s 420, 467, 468, 471 and 34 of the IPC.

3.      The prosecution case in brief is that the petitioner along  
with other accused persons executed sale deed without boundary  
and mutated excess land of 11 Dhur from Khesra no.106 and  
created a new zamabandi no.1150 in his favour and in this way,  
with dishonest intention, they executed the sale deed of the land  
of the informant and committed serious offence of forgery.

4.      It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that  
petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He



has been falsely implicated in this case due to grudge. No such occurrence, in the manner as alleged, has ever taken place. It is submitted that the parties are agnates and there is admitted civil dispute between them. The land of sale deed belongs to one of the co-accused who sold his share to Sintu Kumar and Suresh Kumar by sale deed no.437 dated 24.01.2023, in which petitioner is not a witness or party. Petitioner has eleven criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for bail by submitting that knowing this fact that the said land does not belongs to the petitioner and co-accused, the co-accused Rajiv sold the said land and petitioner is also involved in the same. It is further submitted that the petitioner has 11 criminal antecedent in similar nature of offence.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and considering that there is a civil dispute between the parties, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court



below where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Khagaria (Chitraguptnagar) P.S. Case No.191/2023, arising out of G.R. No.564/2023, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

**(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)**

pallavi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

