

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.72301 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-193 Year-2024 Thana- NATHNAGAR District- Bhagalpur

Rajeev Ranjan Raj @ Laddu Sah Son of Late Radhey Raman Sah @ Radha Raman Sah R/O- Mohalla MTN Ghosh Road, P.S.- Nathnagar, Distt.- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madan Mohan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 21-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in Nathnagar P.S. Case No. 193 of 2024, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner along with other co-accused persons hatched a conspiracy to kill the son of the informant, called him with regard to the debt of Rs. 4,00,000/- and took him on his motorcycle from his house. In the meantime, four unknown persons shot dead the son of the informant.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner was neither present nor arrested at the place of occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has admitted his guilt before the police personnel in his confessional statement and the same has got no evidentiary value. The petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2024 and has got two criminal antecedents in which he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is also submitted that para-11 of the case diary is the confessional statement of the petitioner in which he has accepted his guilt with regard to commit murder of the son of the informant along with other co-accused persons. Further, on perusal of para-13 of the case diary it transpires that it is the CCTV footage of the place of incident in which the petitioner along with other co-accused person have been spotted. It is further submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of committing murder of the son of the informant. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the



privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial expeditiously.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

