

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67368 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-48 Year-2023 Thana- TISIAUTA District- Vaishali

Mukesh Sahani Son Of Ram Lakshman Sahani @ Ramlakhan Sahani R/O
Vill - Larua, P.S. - Tajpur (Halai O.P.), Distt. - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Bela Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar No.1, APP.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

3 23-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Dilip
Kumar No.1, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Tisiauta P.S. Case No. 48 of 2023 dated 24.05.2023, registered
for the offence punishable u/s 392 of the I.P.C., pending in the
court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-Vth,
Vaishali at Hajipur.

3. As per the F.I.R., the allegation against the petitioner
along with other miscreants have snatched the bag of the
informant in which a laptop, mobile, purse etc. were kept.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely
implicated in this case due to previous grudge. The allegations
levelled against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature.



She further submits that petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. rather petitioner has been made accused only on the confessional statement of co-accused, English Kumar. Nothing incriminating articles have been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Petitioner has three criminal antecedents of similar nature as mentioned in para 3 of the bail application.

5. Per contra, learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the bail petition submitted that the name of the petitioner transpired on the confessional statement of co-accused, English Kumar and Aadhar Card of the informant, Rahul Kumar was also recovered from the house of the petitioner. The allegations levelled against the petitioner is serious in nature, hence he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of case, petitioner has three criminal antecedents of similar nature, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The prayer for bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

annpurna/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

