

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66617 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-142 Year-2023 Thana- NAYAGAON District- Saran

Satendra Kumar @ Lomar Kumar @ Satyendra Kumar S/o Raj Kishore Rai
@ Pahari Rai R/o Village- Shobhepur, P.S.- Nayagaon, Dist.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr. Rajesh Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 29-11-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. Earlier the prayer for bail of the petitioner was
rejected vide order dated 22.02.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.
8872 of 2024 which is as follows:-

*“Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned APP for the State.*

*2. This application for grant of regular bail
arises out of Nayagaon P.S. Case No.142 of 2023 registered
for the offence punishable under Section 302/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.*

*3. The father of the informant was assaulted by
the accused persons including the petitioners and
subsequently, he died in course of treatment.*

*4. Though the petitioners have given statement
in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that they have clean
antecedent but, it has been submitted by learned counsel for
the informant that the petitioners are also accused in
Nayagaon P.S.Case No. 146 of 2023 registered under
Sections 364/302/120-B of the Indian Penal Code.*



5. Considering the fact that for a trivial dispute, the deceased was killed by the accused persons and the petitioners have suppressed their criminal antecedent, I am not inclined to grant the petitioners privilege of regular bail.

6. Accordingly, this bail application is rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as early as possible. If there is no substantial progress in the trial, the petitioners may renew their prayer for bail.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that five witnesses out of nine have been examined.
4. In these circumstances, since the trial has proceeded, it is not a fit case for grant of bail. This application is dismissed.
5. The trial should be concluded at the earliest.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Saif/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

