

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67697 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-151 Year-2023 Thana- KEWATI District- Darbhanga

-
1. RAMU SAH @ RAMU SAHU SON OF DAMU SAHU @ DOMU SAHU VILLAGE - KADAMTOLI, PS - KEOTI, DIST - DARBHANGA
 2. VIKKY KUMAR @ VIKASH KUMAR SAHU SON OF RAMU SAH @ RAMU SAHU VILLAGE - KADAMTOLI, PS - KEOTI, DIST - DARBHANGA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Fahimuddin, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 15-02-2024 1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the prayer for anticipatory bail with respect to the petitioner no. 1 Ramu Sah @ Ramu Sahu.
2. Permission is accorded.
3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner no. 2, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.
4. The petitioner no. 2 apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354, 379, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner no. 2 submits that petitioner no. 2 Vikky Kumar @ Vikash Kumar Sahu is a person with clean antecedent and allegation against him and



Ramu Sah is of assaulting the injured on his head. It is further submitted that on account of dispute relating to plucking of mango, the present occurrence is alleged to have been taken place. It is next submitted that daughter of Ramu Sah had also instituted Keoti P.S. Case No. 164 of 2023 against the informant's side.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 2 and submits that there is direct allegation against this petitioner of assaulting on the vital part of the informant causing injury. It is further submitted that three injuries were found on the head of the injured out of which injuries no. 1 and 2 are simple but with respect to injury no. 3 the opinion has been reserved. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 that the injured after treatment was discharged from DMCH after four days to his admission from the hospital.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. and learned counsel for the informant, the Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 2 in connection with Keoti P.S. Case No. 151 of 2023 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st



Class, Darbhanga/successor Court.

8. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner no. 2 is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

