

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14265 of 2023

=====

Suresh Ray Son of Ramdev Ray, resident of Village-Mehsaal, Ward No. 06,
P.S.-Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Sitamarhi Sadar, District-Sitamarhi.
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
6. The Circle Officer, Sitamarhi.
7. The S.H.O. Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.
8. Pravin Kumar, son of Ram Pratap Ray, resident of Village-Mehsaal, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.
9. Nagendra Shahi, son of Ram Lakhan Shahi, resident of Village-Mehsaal, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.
10. Vinod Kumar Shahi, son of Narendra Shahi, resident of Village-Mehsaal, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.
11. Randhir Kumar @ Shubhan son of Vinod Kumar Shahi, resident of Village-Mehsaal, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.
12. Parvir Kumar @ Chhotu son of Vinod Kumar Shahi, resident of Village-Mehsaal, P.S. Runnisaidpur, District-Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rudal Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sajid Salim Khan (Sc 25)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 03-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for parties.

This writ application has been filed for the following
reliefs:-

(i) To direct the state respondents to remove the
encroachment made by the Private Respondent No. 8 to 12 over



the land of petitioner situated at village Mehsaul, Thana No. 82, P.S.- Runnisaipur, District- Sitamarhi bearing Khata No. 332, Keshra 2398, 2399 Area 36 and Khata No. 397, Khesra No.2400 Area 60 Decimal.

(ii) To direct the state respondent to take appropriate action against Private Respondent No.8 to 12 for disturbing the peaceful possession of the petitioner over the land in question.

(iii) To direct the state respondent to take appropriate action for save life and property of the petitioner at the hands of Private Respondent No. 8 to 12.

3 . After some arguments, petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this writ application with liberty to move before appropriate authority for redressal of his grievance .

4. In view of the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move before the appropriate authority which may be available to him in accordance with law.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U			
---	--	--	--

