

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70034 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-441 Year-2020 Thana- AURANGABAD TOWN District-
Aurangabad

Sanjog Yadav @ Sanjog Kumar Son of Ramashish Singh Resident of Village-
Chorwa Chatra, PS- Aurangabad, Bihar-824102

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anirudh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Zainul Abedin, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 23-10-2024 Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 441 of 2020, registered
for the offences punishable under Section 379 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. The allegation against the petitioner is of causing
theft of Maruti Wagon-R car along with four batteries of E-
Rickshaw. The entire incidence is said to have been captured in
CCTV camera.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioner drawing the
attention of this Court to the FIR contended that the FIR clearly
shows that there was a dispute with regard to demand of



advance money and the informant himself admitted that he has taken advance of Rs. 20,000/- but has returned only Rs. 13,500/- due to which there was a dispute between the parties. It is further contended that the entire case is based on suspicion, as during the course of investigation, it has come that on the alleged date of occurrence, he was present there and had given some signal by pointing towards the car. The materials, at best suggest that the petitioner might be the person, who was instrumental in getting the car, in question, stolen. During the course of investigation, nothing has been recovered from the whereabouts of the petitioner and both the parties were known to each other. It is next contended that though the petitioner bears four criminal antecedent, however, the petitioner is on bail in all the cases. So far the present case is concerned, no process has ever been served upon the petitioner and he was not acquainted with the same.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposed the pre-arrest bail application and submits that the case is of 2020 and the petitioner has been evading his arrest for the last several years.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the nature of allegation



based upon suspicion and the previous dispute between the parties, let the petitioner above named be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad Town P.S. Case No. 441 of 2020, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

