

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3808 of 2018

=====

Sunita Kumari W/o Shri Raj Nandan Kishor resident of house of Shri Ashok Kumar Singh, Indralaya, Road No. 1 Extention, Rajbanshi Nagar, P.O. and P.S. Shastri Nagar, District - Patna, PIN - 800023.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Additional Secretary, General Administration Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Secretary-cum-Legal Remembrance, Department of Law, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Patna High Court through its Registrar General, Patna High Court, Patna.
6. The Registrar General, Patna High Court, Patna.
7. The District and Sessions Judge, Bhojpur at Ara.
8. The Selection Board for appointment to class IV, posts, Bhojpur Judgeship through its Chairman, Ara

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Navendu Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Raghwanand, GA-11
For the PHC	:	Mr. Piyush Lall, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-02-2024

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the State, and learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

2. Learned counsel for respondents Nos. 5 and 6 submits that by way of the supplementary counter affidavit, the questions which have been directed to be answered vide order dated 22.09.2023, have been answered and it has been clarified that two posts earmarked for Extremely Backward Class



(Female) category were not filled up as only one candidate, Kumari Reena, stands appointed with total marks (average) of 44.667 against these two posts. For the second question, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner was not eligible as per the cut-off marks for the Extremely Backward Class (Female) category, and with respect to the third question, he submits that the name of the Extremely Backward Class (Female) appointed is Kumari Renna.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after going through the supplementary counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 and 6, it transpires that the name of the petitioner in the merit list is much below and, therefore, selection of the petitioner is not possible and, hence, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

4. Permission granted.

5. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22/02/2024
Transmission Date	NA

