

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-97 Year-2024 Thana- RISIYAP District- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

... .. Opposite Party/s

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binod Kumar Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Md. Nazir Ansari

2 26-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of recovery of 70 litres of liquor from a bush.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioner and is accessible to public at large. It is next submitted that he came to be implicated at the instance of Chowkidar but then it absolutely does not stand to reason that if Chowkidar was aware of the involvement of the



petitioner in the occurrence then why he/she did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR which further casts aspersion on the case of the prosecution.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Risiup P.S. Case No. 97 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that the petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

SUMIT/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

