

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66282 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-96 Year-2012 Thana- BHAGWANPUR District- Vaishali

Naresh Paswan S/O Late Ram Shrest Paswan R/O Bararup, Ps- Bhagwanpur,
Dist- Vaishali At Hajipur

... .. Petitioner/S

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pramod Ban Bihari Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

5 19-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

The petitioner has filed the instant application
for grant of regular bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 302/34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner and other co-
accused persons is that they brutally assaulted the
brother-in-law of the informant, namely, Ranjeet Bhagat
due to which he succumbed to injuries.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and he has



falsely been implicated in the present case. Neither the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. nor anything incriminating has been recovered from his conscious possession. His name sprang up during investigation of this case. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 2.11.2013.

Learned APP appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is already convicted.

From perusal of the status report of trial Court, it appears that the case is fixed for adducing the prosecution witness and trial is likely to be concluded within 1 year.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to hear this case on day to day basis and conclude the same within a



period of nine months, since the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since long.

However, if the trial of the petitioner is not concluded within a period of nine months, he would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Nirajkrs/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

