

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15641 of 2022

=====

Neelam Kumari Wife of Prakash Kumar Mandal, Resident of Village-
Bhodabari Fasia Centre 256 Ward No. 45, Post Tingachia, P.S. -Katihar,
District-Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department,
Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS), Patna, Bihar.
3. The Collector, Katihar.
4. The Additional Collector, Katihar.
5. The District Programme Officer, Katihar.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Sadar Katihar.
7. Mamta Kumari Wife of Kartal Singh, resident of Village-Bhatta Tola Udama
Rekha, P.O.-Tingachia, P.S.-Katihar, District-Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rajiv Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Smt. Kumari Amrita (GP-3)
		Mr. Mirtyunjay Kr., AC to GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following reliefs:-

*“1. (A) A writ in the nature of Certiorari
or any other appropriate writ, order,
direction quashing the order dated
20.6.2022 passed by Additional
Collector, Katihar in Aanganwadi
Appeal Waad No.275/2016-17
affirming the order dated 30.6.2016
passed by District Programme Officer,
ICDS, Katihar in Waad No. 27/2015*



relating to direction for taking fresh initiative for selection of Sewika for Aangabwadi Centre Bhodawadi Fasia Centre No.256 in accordance with the departmental guidelines immediately.

(B) A writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order, direction commanding the respondents to act in accordance with law and to order showing selection of the petitioner since her claim was found in accordance with the departmental guidelines as of the majority class was Scheduled Tribes and not of Scheduled Caste as is apparent from the above said order dated 20.6.2022 passed by Additional Collector Katihar in Aanganwadi Appeal Waad No.275/2016-17.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a suit before the Ld. Civil Court having competent jurisdiction, for redressal of the aforesaid grievances. Liberty, so sought, is



granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

