

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14391 of 2023

=====

Rintu Devi Daughter of Late Loknath Thakur, Wife of Jetu Thakur, resident of Village-Rampur Chai, P.S.-Karpi, District-Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary of Panchayati Raj Department, Bihar.
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The District Magistrate, Arwal.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Arwal.
5. The Block Development Officer, Karpi, District-Arwal.
6. The Block Panchayat Raj Officer, Karpi, District-Arwal.
7. Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj Rampur, Chai, Block-Karpi, District-Arwal.
8. Ayub Ansari, Gaphur Ansari Shop Keeper of Public Distribution System, Gram Panchayat Raj Rampur, Chai, Block-Karpi, District-Arwal.
9. Renuka Devi, Ravindra Thakur Ex Ward Member of Ward No. 2, resident of Village Rampur, Chai, P.S.-Karpi, District-Arwal.
10. Gulsan Ara, Nazir Ansari resident of Village Rampur, Chai, P.S.-Karpi, District-Arwal.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Ajay (Ga 5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following relief(s):-

“1(a) To issue a writ of mandamus or any other writ or writs, direction or directions commanding upon the respondent authorities specially Respondent no.2 for hearing and disposal of revision application dated 01.08.2023 filed by the petitioner against the



order dated 12.07.2023 passed by the (Respondent no.3) District Magistrate cum Second Appellate Authority, Public Grievance Officer, Arwal who has given clean chit to respondent no.8 who was wrongly and illegally selected as Chairman (Adhyaksh) of Ward Implementation and Managing Committee of Ward no.2 of Gram Panchayat Raj Rampur Chai, Karpi. Further Respondent no.3 has also ignored the embezzlement done by Respondent no.8 to 10 in implementation of "Nal-Jal" Scheme which was duly pointed out by the Sub-Divisional Public Grievance Redressal Officer Arwal in his order dated 21.10.2022 and had recommended for punitive action against defaulter by Panchayati Raj Officer, Arwal."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks a direction upon the respondent no.2 i.e. the Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya to dispose off the revision application, filed by the petitioner on 01.08.2023.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in case the aforesaid revision application, filed by the petitioner is still pending consideration, the same would definitely be disposed off, within a stipulated time frame, as is fixed by this Court, however, it be observed that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.



4. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it fit and proper to direct the respondent no.2 i.e. the Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya to dispose off the aforesaid revision application, filed by the petitioner, in case the same is still pending, by passing a reasoned and a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

5. The writ petition stands disposed off on the aforesaid terms.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

