

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69469 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2024 Thana- GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP.
District- Patna

=====

Dilip Kumar Singh Son of Sri Ram Balak Singh R/o Village- Hakimganj,
P.S.- Mehandiganj, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. Jai Kumar Singh , Deputy registrar, Patna High Court, Patna Bihar
- Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ansul, Adv.
		Mr. Jagjit Roshan, Adv.
For the State	:	Mr. Dilip Kumar Sinha, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 17-10-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the High Court and learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191, 192,
193, 196, 199, 200, 209, 415, 417, 465, 466 and 468 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. The complaint petition filed by the Deputy
Registrar of the Patna High Court dated 18.05.2024 contained
the recital that this Court vide order dated 20.03.2024 directed
the petitioner to appear through VC on 03.04.2024 in connection
with MJC No. 1205 of 2022. An affidavit was sworn by the
petitioner submitting that the Court making statement that



Kamlesh marked his attendance on 07.11.2022 to 01.12.2022. This Court directed to secure original record of that attendance register and it was observed by the High Court on 26.04.2024 that the attendance registered was created in contrast through Director, Jan Shiksha Report dated 16.04.2024. It is alleged that the petitioner produced fabricated documents and sworn false affidavit and this acted in a fraudulent manner and committed culpable obstruction in administration of justice.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that the petitioner has already superannuated on 31.05.2024. He further submits that no inquiry was made by the concerned magistrate into the allegation against the petitioner and the cognizance was taken in a mechanical manner without affording the petitioner an opportunity to be heard. He submits that the petitioner is ready to cooperate in the trial. He further submits that petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para-3 of the bail application.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the above named petitioner in the event of his arrest or



surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Complaint Case No. 136 (O) of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. with further condition that the petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded and make himself available and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

