

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65058 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2023 Thana- CHANDRADIP District- Jamui

Vikram Kumar @ Ranjan Kumar @ Ranjay Kumar S/O Kapildeo Paswan
Resident Of Gokul Chak Aliganj, Ps. Chandradip, Dist. Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rakesh Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Umesh Lal Verma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

3 29-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2.The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Chandradip P.S. case No. 118 of 2023 instituted for the offence
under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of
the Arms Act.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is of opening fire
upon the informant's sons namely, Raunak Kumar and Rocky
Kumar due to which both of them sustained gun shot injury on
their persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and committed no offence. He has falsely
been implicated in the present case due to enmity arising out of
land dispute. The informant is not an eye witness of the alleged



occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 25.07.2023.

5.Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that from perusal of prosecution case, there is direct and specific overt act against this petitioner who fired upon two sons of the informant due to which both of them got seriously injured. Further, the injury report also corroborates the prosecution case. During investigation, witnesses of this case supported the prosecution case.

6. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that there is direct allegation against this petitioner, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

7. The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within a period of six months, failing which, the petitioner may renew his prayer of bail.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

