

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3572 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6315 of 2019

=====

Kamal Mandal Son of Late Bahuri Mandal R/o Village- Basaha, Ward No. 07,
P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul, presently working as Night Guard at Jawahar
Premlal High School, Basaha, District- Supaul.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, namely, Shri Deepak Kumar,
Son of not known to the petitioner, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Shri R.K. Mahajan, presently posted as Principal Secretary/ Additional Chief
Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Shri Arshad Firoz, posted as Deputy Secretary, Education Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. Shri Girwar Dayal Singh, posted as Director (Secondary Education)
Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. Shri Lakiuddin Ahmad son of not known to the petitioner, posted as
Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
6. Shri Mahendra Kumar, son of not known to the petitioner, posted as District
Magistrate, Supaul.
7. Shri Yogesh Mishra, son of not known to the petitioner, posted as District
Education Officer, Supaul.
8. Shri Prem Ranjan, son of not known to the petitioner, posted as District
Programme Officer, (Secondary Education) Establishment, Supaul.
9. Giridhar Prasad Yadav Son of not known to the petitioner, presently posted
as In-charge Headmaster of Jawahar Premlal High School, Basaha, District-
Supaul.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjit Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs.Binita Singh (Sc 28)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

5 20-12-2024 1. The learned counsel for the opposite parties

submits that in compliance of the order of this Court dated

02.04.2019, passed in CWJC No.6315 of 2019, the District

Program Officer (Establishment), Supaul, has already passed a



reasoned order dated 18.02.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner except to the extent of a sum of Rs.55,485, which has already stood paid to the petitioner.

2. In view of the aforesaid, in case the petitioner is so aggrieved, he may take recourse to the due process of law for the purposes of challenging the aforesaid order dated 18.02.2022.

3. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed off.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

