

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14038 of 2024

1. Chandra Shekhar Prasad Verma Son of Rajendra Verma, Resident of Mohalla- Polo Road Kaushal Nagar, P.S.- Airport, District- Patna.
2. Pravin Kumar, Son of Anil Kumar Rai, Resident of Village- Piri Bazar, P.S.- Piri Bazar, District- Lakhisarai.
3. Sanjeev Kumar, Son of Late Ram Prasad Ram, Resident of Mohalla- Anghatta Road Ward No. 02, P.S.- Dumra, District- Sitamarhi.
4. Santosh Kumar, Son of Late Laxmi Narayan, Resident of Mohalla- Khadi Bhandar Chowk, P.S.- Mithupura, District- Muzaffarpur.
5. Jitendra Kumar, Son of Kamta Ray, Resident of Mohalla- Naya Tola Digha, P.S.- Digha, District- Patna.
6. Gyan Prakash @ Gyan Prakash Sharma Son of Deonandan Sharma, Resident of Mohalla- Kazipur Arya Kumar Road, P.S.- Kadamkuan, District- Patna.
7. Sunil Chaudhary, Son of Late Chhote Lal Chaudhary, Resident of Mohalla- Kumhrar, P.S.- Agamkuan, District- Patna.
8. Amar Kumar, Son of Ranjan Kumar, Resident of Village- Jawaripur, P.S.- Tilkamanjhi, District- Bhagalpur.
9. Avinash Kumar Verma, Son of Mathura Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Rajiv Nagar, P.S.- Rajiv Nagar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Department of Labour Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Employment and Training Labour Resources Department Bihar, Patna.
4. The Bihar Technical Service Commission, Bihar, Patna through its Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
5. The In-charge Officer, Bihar Technical Service Commission, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate. Ms. Priya Ranjan, Advocate Ms. Chandani Kumari, Advocate Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocate
For B.T.S.C.	:	Mr. Nikesh Kumar, Advocate.
For the State	:	Mr. Anirban Kundu, SC-24

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH



ORAL ORDER

2 19-09-2024 Heard Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners; Mr. Nikesh Kumar, learned counsel for the Bihar Technical Service Commission and Mr. Anirban Kundu, learned SC-24 for the State.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the facts of the case and law involved in the present writ petition is similar to that of CWJC No. 13951 of 2024, which was disposed of earlier today i.e. on 19.09.2024 and the present writ petition can be disposed of in light of the judgment dated 19.09.2024 passed in CWJC No. 13951 of 2024.

3. Considering the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner and in view of the observation made in paragraphs no. 21 and 22 in the judgment dated 19.09.2024 passed in CWJC No. 13951 of 2024, without going into the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of in light of judgment dated 19.09.2024 passed in CWJC No. 13951 of 2024.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U			
---	--	--	--

