

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13956 of 2023

=====

Chandeshwar Prasad Sah Son of Late Jagarnath Sah Resident of Village-Karja
Anant, P.S.-Karja District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary Forest and Environment Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Forest Officer, Tirhut Ban Pramandal, Muzaffarpur.
3. The Forest Range Officer, West. Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Singh (Aag 13)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-08-2024 Heard Mr. Nachiketa Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Abhinav Alok, learned AC to AAG-13.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*(i) for issuance of an order or
orders, direction/directions, writ, including
writ in the nature of certiorari, setting aside
the order dated 08.08.2023. whereby the
Forest Range Officer, West, Muzaffarpur
sealed the saw mill of the petitioner, without
giving him prior notice and without giving
him a chance of hearing;*

*(ii) for issuance of an order or
orders, direction/directions, writ, including
writ in the nature of mandamus directing the
respondents to open the saw mill of the
petitioner and permitted him to run the said*



mill accordingly;

*(iii) for any other relief or reliefs
to which the petitioner may be found entitled
in the facts and circumstances of the case.*

3. Learned State Counsel objected to the writ petition submitting that the licence is in the name of Jai Prakash Gupta who is not before this Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it will suffice if he is allowed to approach the Divisional Forest Officer, Tirhut, Forest Division, Muzaffarpur against the order in question.

5. Granting said liberty and since the petitioner was agitating the matter before this Court, in case any petition is preferred by appropriate person against the order, the limitation petition shall be considered.

6. Taking into account the aforesaid facts, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

