

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14275 of 2024

Prashant Priyadarshi Son of Dinesh Kumar Pankaj Resident of Village-
Cahakrasulabad, P.S. Chakrasuabad, District- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department Government. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Vaishali Hajipur.
5. The District Education Officer, Vaishali, Hajipur.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Vaishali, Hajipur.
7. The Block Development Officer, Zandaha, District- Vaishali.
8. The Block Education Officer, Zandaha, District- Vaishali.
9. The Pramukh cum-President Block Employment Committee, Zandaha, District- Vaishali.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Government Pleader (2)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJANI KUMAR SHARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 19-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

2. By filing this writ application, the petitioner has prayed
for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus
commanding the respondent Authorities to issue appointment
letter of the petitioner as a Block Teacher against the visual
handicapped seats on the basis of merit list, in light of the
judgment/order dated 25.11.2023 passed by the District



Appellate Authority, Vaishali, Hajipur and also in light of the judgment/order dated 02.04.2024 passed by this Court in CWJC No. 1942 of 2024.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed a detailed representation before the District Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur (respondent no.4) to take an appropriate action against the concerned officials of Education Department of Zandaha Block for non-compliance of the order of the Appellate Authority, but till date no action has been taken by the respondent concerned.

4. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, in my view, no useful purpose would be served keeping this writ application pending.

5. Accordingly, this writ application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a fresh representation before the District Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur (respondent no.4) along with the supporting documents within a period of two weeks from today for redressal of his grievances and respondent no.4 is well advised to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass a reasoned and speaking order within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation filed by the petitioner after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or his counsel.



6. It is made clear that the District Magistrate, Vaishali, Hajipur (respondent no.4) shall be held responsible for non-compliance of this order within the stipulated period.

7. With the aforesaid direction, this writ application is disposed of.

(Anjani Kumar Sharan, J)

divyanshi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

