

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64558 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-894 Year-2022 Thana- BHOJPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Bhojpur

=====

Amarjeet Chaudhary Son of Dev Kumar Chaudhary R/O Vill.- Akhgaon, P.S.- Sandesh, Dist.- Bhojpur, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anisha Chaudhary Wife of Amarjeet Chaudhary, D/O Lalan Chaudhary R/O Vill.- Akhgaon, P.S.- Sandesh, Dist.- Bhojpur. At Present R/O Vill.- Chowkipur, Post- Chandawa, P.S.- Udwanthnagar, Dist.- Bhojpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Pankaj Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Ms.Meena Singh, APP
For O.P. No. 2	:	Md.Ataul Haque, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 21-10-2024 1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned Counsel for the informant-Opposite Party No. 2 and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 894(C) of 2022, registered for the offences punishable under Section 498-A and other allied sections of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.
3. The allegation, as per the First Information Report, is that the marriage of the Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with the petitioner on 13.03.2019. It is alleged that at the time of



marriage, Rs. 4.5 lacs cash and other articles of worth Rs. 3 lacs were given. After sometime, the petitioner and other family members started demanding a jersey cow and due to non-fulfilment of the same, they started torturing the complainant in one way or the other. The accused persons also tried to set her ablaze.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not committed any offence in the manner alleged and he has falsely been implicated in this case. However, without prejudice to the right and contention of the petitioner, and in order to maintain harmony in the family, the petitioner is ready and willing to pay a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month to the Opposite Party No. 2 as living cost, subject to the final outcome of the present case and/ or the matrimonial case, if any, pending and/ or decided between the parties.

5. Learned Counsel for the informant-Opposite Party No. 2 accepts the offer so made by learned Counsel for the petitioner and submits that the petitioner may be directed to deposit the said amount of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of the informant-Opposite Party No. 2, details of which shall be furnished by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2 to learned Counsel for the petitioner within ten days from



today.

6. Regard being had to the submissions made on behalf of the parties and taking into consideration the materials on record and the fact that the offer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner has been accepted by learned Counsel for the Opposite Party No. 2, I am inclined to grant the petitioner, privilege of anticipatory bail.

7. This application is, accordingly, allowed.

8. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on bail, upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Bhojpur at Ara, in connection with Complaint Case No. 894(C) of 2024.

9. This is subject to the condition that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs. 3,000/- per month in the bank account of Opposite Party No. 2 positively, starting from 11th November, 2024.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

