

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2255 of 2021

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14318 of 2021

=====

Ratnesh Kumar Son of Ramnandan Singh Resident of Village and Post -
Kishunpur Mohini, P.S. - Kudhani, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Sri Sunil Kumar, The Principal Secretary Department of Public Health Engineering, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Sri Pranav Kumar, The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
4. Faiyaz Akhtar, The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Muzaffarpur.
5. Brijesh Kumar, The Sub - Divisional Officer, West District- Muzaffarpur.
6. Sri Sanjeev Kumar, The Block Development Officer, Kudhani, District- Muzaffarpur.
7. Sri Rakesh Kumar Raushan, Ex- Mukhia Gram Panchayat Raj, Kishunpur Mohini, Block - Kudhani, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Bipin Chandra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pushkar Narain Shahi, AAG- 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESH CHAND
MALVIYA

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. B. BAJANTHRI)

4 25-01-2024 The present M.J.C – Contempt petition is filed

for non-compliance of the order dated 24.08.2021

passed in C.W.J.C No. 14318 of 2021 read with the

petitioner's representation dated 11.09.2021. It is learnt

that orders of this Court read with petitioner's



representation has been complied on 19.07.2022. However, the same was not made known to this Court or to the petitioner till 19.01.2024. The reasons are not forthcoming from the show-cause, therefore, we have asked the concerned Block Development Officer, Kudhani, Muzaffarpur.

2. Today, learned counsel for the State-Respondent furnished original dispatch register, we have perused the dispatch register column against the date 19.07.2022. Even though, it has been recorded in respect of compliance order dated 19.07.2022. However, the same was not dispatched to the petitioner or communicated to the petitioner. Even after lapse of about one year and three months, the concerned B.D.O has not apprised this Court during pendency of the present M.J.C No. 2255 of 2021. In other words, there is a negligence on the part of the office of the B.D.O. In respect of compliance of the order, that too, in the contempt matter. Therefore, we proposed to impose a cost, and it is quantified at Rs. 5,000/- (Five Thousand)



cost shall be paid to the petitioner within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

3. Accordingly, the present M.J.C stands dropped.

(P. B. Bajanthri, J)

(Ramesh Chand Malviya, J)

Mayank/-

U			
---	--	--	--

