

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.71134 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-637 Year-2022 Thana- SAMASTIPUR MUFFASIL District-
Samastipur

=====

SIDARTH YADAV @ SIDDHARTH YADAV S/O UPENDRA RAI R/O
VILLAGE- CHAKNOOR, P.S- SAMASTIPUR MUFFASIL, DISTT.-
SAMASTIPUR.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jitendra Narain Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tapeswar Sharma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 19-04-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.

2. Petitioner apprehends arrest in a case registered for
the offence punishable under sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120
(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant had
purchased a piece of land in 2020 from the petitioner vide deed
No. 8365 bearing Plot No. 55, Khesra No. 1035 measuring an
area of 3.27 decimal, but then the said petitioner, along with
another co-accused, Vinita Bharti, asked the informant to sell
the said land, which the informant always used to deny. It is
further alleged that this petitioner, along with other co-accused
persons, approached the informant for the registry of a piece of



land. Thereafter, the informant sold the newly purchased land in favor of this petitioner via deed No. 18465 on October 22, 2022. Further, on November 12, 2022, the informant executed a sale deed in favor of Vinita Bharti via deed No. 19753, but the petitioner, along with other co-accused, fraudulently got the land executed by the informant by changing the page of the sale deed.

4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that from perusal of FIR, it is manifest that the allegation are based on sale of piece of land. At best, the dispute is purely civil in nature. The present case has been lodged only with a view to grab money from the petitioner. A similarly situated co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court via order dated August 4, 2023, in Cr. Misc. No. 44186 of 2023 . Petitioner claims clean antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, in the event of arrest or surrender within six weeks from today, let the petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned A . C . J. M.-II, Samastipur in connection with
Samastipur Mufassil P.S. case no 637 of 2022 , subject to the
conditions laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of
Criminal Procedure .

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Koushik/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

