

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66650 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2024 Thana- KATIHAR NAGAR District- Katihar

Satyam Sah @ Kislay S/O Aditya Sah @ Aditya Kumar Sah Resident of Bari Haat Purnea , Ward No.21 @ Bari Hat Purnia, P.S.-K.Haat Sahayak @ K.Hat Sahayak @ Sahayak Khajanchi, District-Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                        |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Sushil Jha         |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Md. Aslam Ansari       |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Satish Kumar Sinha |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

- 205-10-2024
1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned APP for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that initially when the FIR was instituted, it was instituted under various sections of the IPC including Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, charge sheet was submitted under various provisions of the IPC read with the section of SC/ST Act. It is next submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the same does not record that the charge sheet was submitted under provisions of the SC/ST Act. It is also submitted that if charge sheet was not submitted



under the SC/ST Act, then on what basis the learned Court of ADJ-I-cum-Special Judge, SC/ST, Katihar entertained the regular bail application.

3. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that it appears that the said fact escaped the attention of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner before the learned Trial Court and also escaped the attention of the learned Court of ADJ-I-cum-Special Judge, SC/ST, Katihar, thus, seeks permission to withdraw the regular bail application with liberty to move before the Court of competent jurisdiction in accordance with law before the learned District Court.

4. Permission is accorded.

**5. Accordingly, the instant regular bail application is dismissed as withdrawn.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

