

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.957 of 2017

=====

Surendra Sah S/o Ram Khelawan Sah, R/s Village- Banauli, P.S.- Sursand,
District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Secretary, Energy Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Chief Managing Director, Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., New Delhi.
3. The Managing Director, Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., Patna.
4. The Executive Director, Revenue Office, Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., Muzaffarpur.
5. Dy. General Manager, Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd., Muzaffarpur.
6. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Dinesh Jha, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Yogendra Pd. Sinha- AAG 7
For the Power Grid Corporation	:	Mr. Arbind Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date : 19-11-2024

1. Heard the Learned counsel for the parties.

2. The Writ petition has been filed for directing the respondents to enhance the amount of compensation for the trees cut and removed by the Power Grid Corporation to drawn electric line of High Voltage and any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioner may be deemed entitled too.

3. At the very outset, the Learned counsel for the respondents contended that since this matter is squarely covered under the order dated 19.03.2024 passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of**



2022 (Nizamuddin Ansari Vs. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited & Ors.) by this Court in which this Court has passed the order in light of a judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Chairman Grid Corporation of Orrisa Ltd. & Ors. Vs. Sukmani Das & Anr** reported in **(1999)-7 SCC 298** this Writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and conditions.

4. After perusal of the aforesaid judgment, the Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to make appropriate application for compensation before the appropriate authority.

5. Having regard to the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the petitioner, the present Writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of 2022** and also with liberty to the petitioner to avail an alternate and efficacious remedy of approaching the appropriate forum /Civil Court in accordance with law for seeking reliefs as prayed for in the Writ petition. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and it is left open to the appropriate forum/Civil Court to decide the issues raised without being influenced by any of the observations made herein.



6. With the aforesaid direction the Writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Interlocutory Application, if any, shall stands disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

Spd/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	20.11.2024
Transmission Date	

