

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.66737 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2022 Thana- DEODHA District- Madhubani

Jagdish Yadav, Son of Vaidnath Yadav R/O Vill.- Narkatiya, P.S.- Basopatti,
Dist.- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ravi Prakash

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Sangeeta Sharma

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 13-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his arrest
in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections
272, 273 of the I.P.C. and Section 30(a) of the Excise Act.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the allegation
is of recovery of 90 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and he came to be
implicated based on the fact that he is owner of the seized
motorcycle. It is next submitted that no prudent person would use
his own vehicle for committing a crime and thus, would create
evidence against himself and hence, would get implicated. It is



further submitted that petitioner was completely unaware that would his neighbour misuse the vehicle in the manner as alleged.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II-cum-Speciall Judge, Excise Act, Madhubani in connection with Deodha P. S. Case No.74 of 2022, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event, if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

