

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.65780 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-187 Year-2021 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

PAWAN MISTRI SON OF LATE SUKHDEO MISTRI RESIDENT OF
VILLAGE- PANANIYA, PS- IMAMGANJ, DISTT- GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Murlidhar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 02-02-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Imamganj P.S. Case No. 187 of 2021 instituted for the offence
under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is a case of commission of murder of his wife by the
petitioner by assaulting.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence.
Petitioner is husband of the deceased and he has been falsely
implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. No one is the
eye witness of the alleged occurrence. It is further submitted
that there is no prior complain in respect of torture, harassment
and assault. A statement has been made in para-3 of this petition



that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 29.11.2021.

Learned APP appearing for the state has opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and there is direct and specific allegation of causing death of his wife after assault. It is further submitted that during the course of investigation, witnesses have supported the prosecution case. The postmortem report of the deceased also corroborates the prosecution case in which doctor opined that several antemortem injuries found on the person which are dangerous to life and cause of death is haemorrhage and shock.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature of allegation, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same as soon as possible.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

lata/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

