

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.69099 of 2023

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2022 Thana- DARAUNDA District- Siwan

=====

ABHIMANYU @ MANNU RAM @ MANNU KUMAR S/O LAXMAN
RAM RESIDENT OF VILLAGE RANGRAULI POLICE STATION DA-
RAUNDA, DIST- SIWAN

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Anis Akhtar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL KUMAR PANWAR
ORAL ORDER

4 31-01-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case
registered for the offence punishable under sections 302 of the
Indian Penal Code.

As per FIR, the allegation against the petitioner is
that he committed murder of the informant's son by means of
knife blow. It is further alleged that the petitioner also
committed murder of his own sister because there was love
affair between her sister and the informant's son.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this case due to previous enmity. The informant is not an eye witness of the alleged occurrence. It is further submitted that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. Moreover, he is languishing in judicial custody since 06.11.2022.

Learned APP appearing for the state has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of commission of murder of his own sister by strangulation and the informant's son by knife blow. As per postmortem report doctor opined cause of death of deceased Rahul Kumar due to haemorrhage and shock injury caused by sharp injury and death of Nibha Kumari due to haemorrhage and shock caused by strangulation, so Postmortem Report is in consonance with the prosecution version.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and considering the gravity and nature of this case, this court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail and, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

The trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within stipulated period of time i.e. seven



to eight months which is mentioned in its progress report and
the same has been kept on Flag ‘A’.

(Sunil Kumar Panwar, J)

Manishkr/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

