

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15286 of 2022

Rinki Kumari wife of Sattan Rabidas resident of Village- Panchgachhia, Ward no. 15, Panchayat- Abhiya Panchgachhia, Police Station, Block and Anchal-Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Service (I.C.D.S.) Social Welfare Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Commissioner, Bhagalpur Division, Bhagalpur.
5. The Collector cum District Magistrate Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
6. The District Education Officer Madhepura.
7. The District Programme Officer, (I.C.D.S.) Bhagalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
8. The Child Development Project Officer (C.D.P.O.), Naugachia, District- Bhagalpur.
9. Sub- Divisional Officer, Naugachia, District- Bhagalpur.
10. The Block Education Officer, Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
11. The Selection and Appointment Committee of Anganbari Centre No. 9, Gram Panchayat- Abhiya Panchgachhia, Ward No. 15, Police Station, Block and Anchal Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur.
12. Neelam Kumari wife of Jitendra Das, Resident of Village- Panchgachhia, Ward no. 15, Panchayat- Abhiya Panchgachhia, Police Station, Block and Anchal Gopalpur, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Rajesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate Mr. Ved Prakash Chandan, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga 7) Mr. Ajit Kumar, AC to GA-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2024 The present writ petition has been filed
seeking the following relief:-

"(i) For quashing and setting aside of the



order dated 23.08.2022 passed by Learned Divisional Commissioner, Bhagalpur in Vividh Case (Anganwari) Revision No.12/2021-22 Neelam Kumari Vs. Rinki Kumari contained in Annexure-1 of this writ application by which a direction has been given to the I.C.D.S. Bhagalpur to ascertain the age of Respondent no.12 by a Medical Board and thereafter look into the matter and to pass appropriate accordance with law."

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to avail such other alternative remedies as are otherwise available under the law, including that of filing a civil suit before the learned civil court of competent jurisdiction. Liberty, so sought, is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

