

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17414 of 2022

=====

Renu Kumari Wife of Ajay Kumar Yadav Resident of Village-Olhanpur, P.S.-
Marhaurha, District Saran .

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Saran at Chapra.
3. The District Programme Officer, Saran at Chapra.
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Marhaurha, District Saran at Chapra.
5. Manju Devi Wife of Manoj Prasad Yadav Resident of Village-Olhanpur, P.S.-Marhaurha, District-Saran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Brajesh Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr.Sumit Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Prashant Pratap, GP-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 09-04-2024 1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the
following relief(s):-

*“1.i) For issuance of writ in the appropriate nature
for quashing of the letter no. 291 dated 27.8.2022
issued under the signature of the Child
Development Project Officer, Marhaurha, Saran
whereby and where under the Respondent Child
Development Project Officer has directed the
petitioner to join Centre No. 90 in Ward No. 12
dehors the guidelines Issued under the signature of
the Director, Integrated Child Development
Services, Bihar, Patna.*

(ii) For further quashing of the letter dated



18.3.2017 whereby the petitioner was earlier directed to join in Ward No. 12, shifting Centre No. 90 and without considering the representation of the petitioner again has issued the letter dated 27.8.2022 by way of punishment.

(iii) For further direction to the Respondent authorities to issue afresh letter in the light of representation of the petitioner and in the light of guidelines issued by the Director, Integrated Child Development Services, Bihar, Patna after hearing the parties.

(iv) For further direction to the Respondent authorities to dispose of the representation of the petitioner by reasoned and speaking order within time framed.”

2. At the outset, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks not to press the present writ petition, however, seeks liberty on behalf of the petitioner to approach the respondent-authorities for redressal of her aforesaid grievances. Liberty so sought is granted.

3. The writ petition stands dismissed as not pressed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

sonal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

