

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15712 of 2016

-
1. Md. Sazad son of Md. Shahadat resident of village - Bhirkhi Chowk, Ward No. 26, Idgah Tola, Madhepura, P.S. District - Madhepura.
 2. Bibi Noor Jahan D/o Md. Quamruddin resident of village - Bhirkhi Chowk, Ward No. 26, Idgah Tola, Madhepura, P.S. District - Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The District Magistrate, Madhepura.
5. The District Education Officer, Madhepura.
6. The District Programme Officer Establishment, Madhepura.
7. The District Programme Officer Literacy and Secom, Madhepura.
8. The Headmaster, Urdu Primary School, Bhirkhi, Block District - Madhepura, namely Renuka Saroj.
9. Ganesh Kumar, the then Headmaster, at present posted as village - Manora-Sukhasan, P.S. - Singheshw

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Hitesh Suman, AC to SC-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 07-05-2024 Heard learned counsel for the parties concerned.

2. The petitioners has filed the present writ application for direction to the respondent-authorities to appoint the petitioners as Talimi Markaz at Urdu Primary School, Bhirkhi, Madhepura, and to grant them all the consequential benefits.

3. The Tola Sewak/Talimi Markaz is appointed under the scheme on contract basis for one year. The post of Tola



Sewak/Talmi Markaj is not statutory and no recruitment rules are followed for their appointment.

4. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in a similar matter of Tola Sewak, in **CWJC No. 18107 of 2016 (Raj Choudhary v. The State of Bihar and Ors.)** has held as follows:-

"The learned counsel for the respondents has raised a preliminary objection regarding maintainability of the present writ petition and has referred to a judgment, dated 17.08.2015, passed by a coordinate Bench of this Court in CWJC No.12390 of 2015 as well as to a judgment dated 02.02.2017 rendered by the learned Division Bench of this Court in L.P.A. No. 2185 of 2015 whereby and whereunder it has been held that since the selection or engagement or hiring of the Tola Sevak is not a permanent appointment under the State, which is required to be considered under Article 226 of the Constitution of India as well as since the post of Tola Sevak is under a scheme, the same does not create any statutory right in favour of the petitioner, hence writ petitions in such matters as that of Tola Sevaks



are not maintainable. Having regard to the aforesaid judgments rendered by a coordinate Bench of this Court as also by the learned Division Bench of this Court, this Court is of the opinion that the present writ petition is not maintainable, hence the same is dismissed. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to take recourse to such other remedies as are available under the law."

5. The order passed by Co-ordinate Bench presided over by Hon'ble Single Judge in the aforesaid writ application has been affirmed by Division Bench holding that writ petition is not maintainable.

6. Taking into consideration the aforesaid judgment of this Court and the fact that Tola Sewak/Talimi Markaz does not hold civil post as well as the same is not a statutory post, I also come to the conclusion the writ application is not maintainable.

7. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

8. However, the petitioners are given liberty to take recourse to such other remedy as is available under the law.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

ashwani/-

U			
---	--	--	--

