

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.67646 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2023 Thana- RATANPUR District- Supaul

=====

Deo Chandra Sada Son of Sri Gurudeo Sada Village- Piprahi W.No-1, Po-
Basawanpatti Ps- Ratanpur Dist- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through NCB Bihar Bihar
2. The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ghanshayam, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Shail Kumari, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

2 13-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned Central Government Counsel, Ms. Shail Kumari.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 8, 20(b)(ii)(c), 22(c), 29 NDPS Act in connection with
NDPS Case No.15 of 2023 arising out of Ratanpura P.S. Case
No.14 of 2023.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that he received secret information that one Shambhu



Yadav and Ranjeet Mukhiya are going to smuggle huge quantity of narcotics into India from Nepal via Koshi river, accordingly, based on the said information a team was constituted and a check post was set up near Koshi river, further at around 10 PM the SSB officials noticed few persons, carrying something on their head and entering Indian border and on seen the SSB persons the accused started fleeing throwing their bag which they were carrying on their head, when they are asked to stop, however, three accused were apprehended namely, Ranjeet, Anil and Deo Chandra Sada (Petitioner), it is next alleged that altogether 8 white coloured large bags were recovered containing 238 Kg. of Ganja.

4. The learned counsel submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is also submitted that the main accused fled and the petitioner who was crossing the border along with Ranjeet and other empty handed were apprehended.

5. The learned Central Government Counsel submits that petitioner was apprehended from the spot along with two other accused and the recovery of narcotic is huge.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned Central Government Counsel, the Court is not inclined to extend



the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, accordingly,
the anticipatory bail application is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Prakash Narayan

U		T	
---	--	---	--

