

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13550 of 2024

Vinod Prasad Son of Paras Nath Prasad, resident of Gidha, Choubey Tola,
Police Station-Chanpatia, District-West Champaran (Bettiah).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Panchayati Raj, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Department of Panchayati Raj, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, West Champaran (Bettiah).
4. The Deputy Development Commissioner, West Champaran (Bettiah).
5. The District Rural Development Agency, West Champaran (Bettiah).
6. The Block Development Officer, Narkatiaganj, West Champaran (Bettiah).

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shankar Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha, GA-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 10-09-2024 Heard the parties.

2. The present writ petition has been preferred
for the grant of following relief:-

“That this is an application for issuance of an appropriate writ(s), order (s) or direction (s) to the respondents to release the amount Rs.1,20,000/- (One lakh twenty thousand) and to ensure the payment of the said amount since the petitioner a retired Panchayat Secretary of R.N.S has already completed the Scheme No. 08/2020-



*21 relating with Lohia Swachhh Bihar Abhiyan
at the village Sakhubania-Bairia of Nautanva
Gram Panchayat for the construction of
Community Swatchhta Centre.*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that under the Lohia Clean Bihar Mission, vide scheme no.08 of 2020-21, the work was executed under the jurisdiction of the Block Development Officer, Narkatiaganj but the payment is still awaited.

4. Learned State counsel submits that the supporting documents which otherwise should have been the part of the writ petition is/are missing.

5. In that background, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be approaching the Deputy Development Commissioner (respondent no.4), West Champaran, Bettiah for redressal of his grievance.

6. A perusal of Annexure P/1 would show that the scheme is of the year 2021 and according to learned counsel for the petitioner it was completed in the year 2022 and we are at the end of the year 2024, if he has any due, the same should be looked into by the Deputy Development Commissioner (respondent no.4) and reasoned order be passed in this regard.



7. In that background, the writ stands disposed of with an observation that if the petitioner prefers representation alongwith the supporting document before the Deputy Development Commissioner (respondent no.4), he shall take up the matter and dispose it of maximum by 31.12.2024.

8. The writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

