

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.62658 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-141 Year-2024 Thana- BHAWANIPUR District- Purnia

Arun Mehta Ramotar Mehta Resident of Village - Kataiya, PS- Bhawanipur,
Dist- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 02-09-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 20 litres of liquor from Basa of the petitioner. It is next submitted that petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his conscious possession and Basa is a place outside the house and is accessible to villagers at large and he came to be implicated at the instance of chowkidar with whom he is on an inimical term.
4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for



anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bhawanipur P.S. Case No.141/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that the learned trial court before accepting the bail bonds of the petitioner shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than one case, in that event, the present anticipatory bail order shall not be given effect to.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

