

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.70357 of 2021

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2019 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

=====

JITENDRA KUMAR @ JITENDRA SINGH Son of Vinod Singh Resident of
Village - Koriam, P.O.- Bara-Koriam, P.S.- Arwal, Distt.- Arwal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sangeeta Devi D/o Ramanand Singh Resident of Village – Nisarpur
Sarwarpur P.S. and P.O.- Rampur Chauram, Distt.- Arwal.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Renu Kumari, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 20-03-2024 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 323 and
498(A) of the Indian Penal Code as well as Sections 3 and 4 of
the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
from perusal of the office report dated 18.03.2024, it would
manifest that the brother of the opposite party no. 2 did not
accept the notice on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 on the
ground that she is staying at her in-laws' place. It is thus
submitted that it amply manifests that the petitioner and the
opposite party no. 2 have come together.



4. In view of the office report dated 18.03.2024, the notice is deemed to have been validly served.

5. Since it has been recorded in the office report dated 18.03.2024 that the opposite party no. 2 is staying at her in-laws' place as informed by her brother, as such, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Tr. No. 1356 of 2019 arising out of Complaint Case No. 72 of 2019, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. However, the opposite party no. 2 shall be at liberty to file an application seeking cancellation of the anticipatory bail granted to the petitioner in the event if she is not staying with the petitioner.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

