

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.64738 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2024 Thana- RUNISAIDPUR District- Sitamarhi

Amar Verma @ Amar Nath Verma Son of Shiv Shankar Sonar Resident of 101, Sec - 12, Mata Colony, Ward No.8, P.S. - Vijay Nagar, District - Gaziabad (Uttar Pradesh) - 201009, At present -Amar Verma @ Aman Nath Verma, Son of Shiv Shankar Sonar, Resident of Floor No.2, Room No.2, Flat No. A-687, New Ashok Nagar, District - East Delhi – 110096

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Rubi Devi Wife of Niket Mishra Resident of Village - Gannigama, Ward No.15, P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Niraj Kumar, Adv. Mr. Saloni Sinha, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 19-09-2024 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 127/2024 dated 21.04.2024 registered for the offence punishable u/s 363 and 366A of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused person are alleged to have kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this



case. The victim in her statement recorded under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. has not stated the name of the petitioner. The name of the petitioner has sprung up during the course of investigation. The charge-sheet has already been submitted against the petitioner. Learned counsel has submitted that there is nothing on record which shows that the victim was forced to have illicit intercourse with another person. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 11.06.2024. The co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 16.07.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 45304 of 2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Sitamarhi in connection with Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 127/2024, with the condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable



cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

